

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/606,345	NOVAK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Leon J. Harper	2166	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Leon J. Harper.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Paul W. Mitchell (Reg. No. 44,453).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 May 2006

**Time:** 12:06pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

23

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*none*


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*A telephone call was made to applicant's representative Attorney, Paul W. Mitchell (Reg. No. 44,453) about a potential 101 issue. The representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization was given for an Examiner's Amendment.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**MOHAMMAD ALI**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)